# W.03061A.08.0380

#### **ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISS**

# INTAINI

#### LITH ITY COMPLAINT FORM

JRIGINAL	0112	77 00/11/2 11/17 10/11/1
Investigator: Al Amezcua Phone: Fax:  Priority: Respond Within Five Days		
Opinion No. Complaint Description	2008 - 70176 : 08A Rate Ca N/A Not App First:	<u>Date:</u> 7/25/2008 se Items - Opposed licable  Last:
Complaint By:	Albert	Krause
Account Name: Street: City: State:	Albert Krause  Beaver Dam  AZ Zip: 8643	Home: (000) 000-0000  Work: (000) 000-0000  CBR  is: E-Mail
Utility Company.  Division: Contact Name:	Beaver Dam V Water	Vater Company, Inc.  Contact Phone
Nature of Complaint:  7/25/08 Docketed under W-03067A-08-0380		
Received email corres From: Albert Krause Sent: Wednesday, July To: Utilities Div - Mailb	/ 23, 2008 11:3/ AM	Arrana Companius Commissius Com

I hope I am directing this to the proper agency, and if not, please advise.

Subject: Impending Increase in Water Rate

Sir:

We live in Beaver Dam, AZ 86432 and the local water company (Beaver Dam Water Co., Inc.) has notified us that they have submitted a request for a revenue increase of 110.05%.

**DOCKETED BY** 

Their reason is that, besides maintenance costs, they have not had a rate increase in 20 years, but that is misleading, as the Beaver Dam population has been stagnant until the recent housing boom which has now burst. That being said, there is another developer who wants to come in here, but cannot because of no guarantee of water. That casts some doubt as to the legitimacy of the water company's rationale.

Even so, this increase seems WAY out of proportion. We are now paying, on average, \$22 monthly for about 3000 gallons, which, by the figures quoted above, will double. A small sum to many, but most of us up here are retireds on Social Security. (Couple that with increasing property taxes, et al, and these costs start to squeeze.)

It should be noted that every time we lose power up here, the water is shut off as the water company has no backup generators. These outages on occasion will last almost a day. If there is an increase in fees, it should

### ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

#### **UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM**

be for acquisition of backup generators.

And, in my opinion, the Company would be better advised to increase rates by a small percentage each year (the Slowly Boil The Frog approach) rather than blast us with a single huge increase. I realize there might also be the Ask-for-100%-and-settle-for-50% negotiating ploy at work here, but in any event, we believe some pressure should be put on the supplier to include backup facilities in any rate increase. Otherwise, I suspect, we'll be hit with another 100% for just that purpose.

Yours truly,

Albert Krause

\*End of Complaint\*

**Utilities' Response:** 

## Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

7/25/08 Email Mr. Krause the following letter.

July 25, 2008

RE: BEAVER DAM WATER COMPANY, INC.

Dear Mr. Krause:

Your email regarding the Beaver Dam Water Company, Inc. ("Beaver Dam") rate case will be placed on file with the Docket Control Center of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") to be made part of the record. The Commission will consider your comments before a decision is rendered in the Beaver Dam application.

The concerns raised in letters received from customers will assist the Commission in the investigation and review of the rate application. The Commission's independent analysis of the utility and its rate request attempts to balance the interest of the utility and its customers.

Commission Staff is very sensitive to the burden that high utility rates can place on the consumer, and though constitutionally required to allow a fair return to the utility, does everything within its authority to protect the consumer.

Staff appreciates your comments and the interest taken on the proposed rate increase. If you should have any questions relating to this issue, please call me toll free at (800) 222-7000.

Sincerely,

Alfonso Amezcua
Public Utilities Consumer Analyst II
Utilities Division
\*End of Comments\*

Date Completed: 7/25/2008

Opinion No. 2008 - 70176